JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Cheron L. Pakrouh (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Delaware (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS RecoverCare LLC, Mary Zappone, an individual, and Rodney an individual				
					unty of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Julie A. Uebler, Esquire - Uebler Law LLC 744 West Lancaster Avenue, Suite 220 Wayne, PA 19087 (610) 688-7900				Attorneys (If Known Wayne C. Stansfi 2500 One Liberty Philadelphia, PA	Place; 165 <u>19103 (215</u>	0 Market Stree) 851-8218	et	
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff)	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff				PTF DEF PTF			incipal Place 🗇 4 🗇 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 2 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		
IV NATUDE OF CHIE				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation	D 6 D 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		RTS	1 00	ADERIT DE BENALTE	P-1	T Charles and a		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/	Y 🗖 62	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other	☐ 422 Appe ☐ 423 With	KRUPTCY al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157	OTHER STATUTES 375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 420 Rapks and Banking	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment☐ 151 Medicare Act☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability ☐ 340 Marine	nlt, Libel & Pharmaceutical der Personal Injury ral Employers' Product Liability lity			PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark		□ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
(Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise	□ 345 Marine Product Liability □ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability □ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 362 Personal Injury -	Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Frand 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage Product Liability	72 74 75 75	I ABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	☐ 861 HIA ☐ 862 Black	Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	□ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act	
REAL PROPERTY	Med. Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION		I Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	FEDERA	I TAY SHIPE	896 Arbitration	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 440 Other Civil Rights □ 441 Voting ■ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	☐ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: ☐ 530 General ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 540 Mandamus & Otl	е	IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		□ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	Employment 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	☐ 550 Civil Rights ☐ 555 Prison Condition ☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement		3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration Actions				
✓ I Original		Remanded from	4 Reins Reon	anoth	sferred from	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta 42 U.S.C. § 2000 Brief description of ca	tute under which you at De et seq., 29 U.S.C	re filing (1 C. § 621	Oo not cite jurisdictional si et seq.	(tv) tatutes unless di	iversity);		
Gender and/or age discrimination; C VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: □ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			ı Di	MAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 50,000 JURY DEMAND: X Yes No				
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE				T NUMBER	W 100 110	
DATE 5/13/2019	7	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OF TECKED				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	ige.	

Case 2:14-cv-02751-ER Document 1 Filed 05/14/14 Page 2 of 19

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 305 Melanie's Way, Wallingford, PA 19086 Address of Defendant: 1920 Stanley Gault Parkway, Suite 100, Louisville, KY 40223 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Wallingford, PA (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock? (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? Yes□ No□ RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Date Terminated: Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No \square 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No□ 4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights case filed by the same individual? Yes No□ CIVIL: (Place / in one category only) A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1.

Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1.

Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. □ FELA 2.

Airplane Personal Injury 3. D Jones Act-Personal Injury 3.

Assault, Defamation 4. □ Antitrust 4. □ Marine Personal Injury 5. D Patent 5. D Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Dabor-Management Relations 6. D Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. M Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. □ Habeas Corpus 8. D Products Liability - Asbestos 9. D Securities Act(s) Cases 9.

All other Diversity Cases 10. □ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) 11.

All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Julie A. Uebler (Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: X Relief other than monetary damages is sough DATE: 71297 Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court except as noted above,

DATE. 5/13/2014

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

Attorney-at-Law

71297

Attorney I.D.#

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 305 Melanie's Way, Wallingford, PA 19086 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$

Address of Defendant: 1920 Stanley Gault Parkway, Suite 100, Lo	ouisville, KY 40223
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Wallingford, PA	
(Use Reverse Side For	r Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)) Yes□ No□
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No□
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this court?
2. Possible and involved to some in the control of	Yes□ No□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	r suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	r numbered case pending or within one year previously
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rig	her are filed by the country of the state of
4. Is this case a second of successive nabeas corpus, social security appear, or pro se civil rig	
	Yes□ No□
CIVIL: (Place 🗸 in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	I. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. M Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
 11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) 	
Water and the second se	
ARBITRATION CER	

I,Julie A. Uebler	(Check Appropriate Category) counsel of record do hereby certify:	
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53 \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and	3.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damaged costs;	es recoverable in this civil action case exceed the
Relief other than monetary dar	nages is sought.	
DATE: 5/13/14	July a. When	71297
400	Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
	NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been comp	liance with F.R.C.P. 38.

I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court June a uncle

CIV. 609 (5/2012)

71297

Attorney I.D.#

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX I	Number	E-Mail Address	
610-688-7900	610-337-	9548	juebler@ueblerlaw.com	
5/13/2x Date	14 July Attorn	a. U.L.	Cheron L. Pakrouh, Plaint Attorney for	:iff
(f) Standard M	anagement – Cases that c	lo not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(X)
commonly i	referred to as complex an See reverse side of this fo	d that need specia	ks (a) through (d) that are all or intense management by explanation of special	()
(d) Asbestos – exposure to	Cases involving claims for asbestos.	or personal injury	or property damage from	()
(c) Arbitration	 Cases required to be de 	signated for arbit	ration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()
(b) Social Secu and Human	rity – Cases requesting re Services denying plainti	view of a decision of Social Security	on of the Secretary of Health Benefits.	()
(a) Habeas Cor	pus – Cases brought und	er 28 U.S.C. § 22	41 through § 2255.	()
SELECT ONE	OF THE FOLLOWING	G CASE MANA	GEMENT TRACKS:	
plaintiff shall co filing the compl side of this for designation, the the plaintiff and	omplete a Case Managem laint and serve a copy on a m.) In the event that a at defendant shall, with its	nent Track Desigr Ill defendants. (Se defendant does n s first appearance Management Tra	Reduction Plan of this court, countation Form in all civil cases at the tee § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the rot agree with the plaintiff regardin, submit to the clerk of court and seack Designation Form specifying the led.	ime of everse g said rve on
	v. Mary Zappone, an indiv ar, an individual, Defend		NO.	
Cheron L. Pa	krouh, Plaintiff	:	CIVIL ACTION	

E-Mail Address

(Civ. 660) 10/02

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CHERON L. PAKROUH,

Plaintiff,

v.

CIVIL ACTION

No.:

RECOVERCARE LLC, MARY ZAPPONE, an individual, and RODNEY VINEGAR, an individual.

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Cheron L. Pakrouh ("Plaintiff" or "Ms. Pakrouh"), by and through her counsel, Uebler Law LLC, files this Complaint and asserts the following claims against Defendants, RecoverCare LLC ("RecoverCare"), Mary Zappone, and Rodney Vinegar (collectively, "Defendants").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1367.
- 2. With respect to Plaintiff's allegations of gender discrimination under federal law, this action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 706(f)(1) and (3) of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended ("Title VII"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-5(f)(1) and (3), and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- 3. With respect to Plaintiff's allegations of age discrimination under federal law, this action is authorized and instituted pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, as amended ("ADEA"), 29 U.S.C. § 626(c).

- 4. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant 28 U.S.C. § 1367 with respect to Plaintiff's claims for gender and age discrimination under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. §§ 955(a) and (d), with respect to Plaintiff's claims for unpaid wages under the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("WPCL"), 43 P.S. § 260.1 et seq., and with respect to Plaintiff's claim for promissory estoppel.
- 5. Plaintiff has exhausted all administrative remedies, having filed timely complaints of gender and age discrimination with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC"), and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC").
- 6. This case is not subject to compulsory arbitration because Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional amount for arbitration of One Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000), exclusive of interest and costs.
- 7. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred within the jurisdiction limit of this Court. Venue is properly invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff, Cheron L. Pakrouh, is female, and her date of birth is September 13, 1956.
 - 9. Ms. Pakrouh resides in Wallingford, Pennsylvania.
- 10. Defendant, RecoverCare LLC, is a limited liability corporation with its corporate headquarters in Louisville, Kentucky.
- 11. RecoverCare provides medical equipment for use in hospitals, homes, acute care facilities, and long-term care facilities.

- 12. Defendant, Mary Zappone, is RecoverCare's President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO").
- 13. Defendant, Rodney Vinegar, is RecoverCare's Vice President Human Resources.
- 14. At all relevant times, RecoverCare has continuously done business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, including within this judicial district.
- 15. At all relevant times, Ms. Pakrouh worked for RecoverCare as an Account Manager out of her home in Wallingford, Pennsylvania, until RecoverCare terminated her employment, effective June 29, 2012.
- 16. Ms. Zappone and Mr. Vinegar, who are officers and/or agents of RecoverCare, each had an active role in refusing to pay Ms. Pakrouh the sales commissions due to her during her employment, and at the time of her termination.

STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 17. Ms. Pakrouh is a Registered Nurse by education. At the time of her hire in 1994, Ms. Pakrouh was one of RecoverCare's first employees.
- 18. Ms. Pakrouh's responsibilities as an Account Manager included sales and rentals (hereafter "sales") to companies in the home care, acute care, and long-term care markets. Ms. Pakrouh also had responsibility to provide support to hospice centers and Veterans' Administration facilities.
- 19. In 2010, Ms. Pakrouh's compensation included a base salary and a flat monthly sales commission of \$10,932. Ms. Pakrouh received a flat monthly commission in part because of RecoverCare's difficulty with, and inconsistency in, accurately capturing her sales results in its sales commissions systems.

- 20. In her performance review dated March 2010, Ms. Pakrouh received excellent ratings, and was praised by her supervisor, Ken Keys (male, 40s), as being at the "top of her game," someone who "always asks for more territory and responsibility," and who is "a self-starter, and very motivated."
- 21. In early 2011, Ms. Pakrouh's monthly sales commission was adjusted to \$10,355 per month.
- 22. At that time, Ms. Pakrouh reported to Damian Jones (male, age early 30s), the Director of Sales, Northeast Region.
- 23. In June 2011, RecoverCare held a sales conference in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, at which it communicated a new sales commission plan for the 2011 fiscal year.
- 24. During the sales conference, Ms. Pakrouh met with Mr. Jones and Eddie Price, RecoverCare's Director of Sales Planning and Analysis, about the Company's new commission plan. During that meeting, the RecoverCare managers acknowledged to Ms. Pakrouh that the new commission plan would not apply to her because the new model did not work for sales to the home care market. Mr. Price also specifically advised Ms. Pakrouh that he would be working on a different sales commission plan for her, as well as Stacy Fuller, another Account Manager who had responsibility for sales to the home care market. Mr. Price specifically advised Ms. Pakrouh: "Don't worry Cheron, we will make you whole."
- 25. During the next several months, Ms. Pakrouh repeatedly contacted Mr. Price for information about RecoverCare's plans for her sales commissions. Mr. Price regularly responded to Ms. Pakrouh that he was "working on it," and was discussing the issue with Larry Kramer, the Executive Vice President of Sales (male, mid-50s), and Rodney Vinegar, Vice President Human Resources.

- 26. In September 2011, Jason Blackwell, RecoverCare's Manager, Financial Analysis, sent the August 2011 Revenue Report to all the Account Executives, including Ms. Pakrouh, via electronic mail.
- 27. During subsequent written communications with Ms. Pakrouh, Mr. Blackwell admitted that the Revenue Report did not accurately reflect Ms. Pakrouh's sales results, specifically as they related to her sales in the home care segment of the market, and indicated the Company was still working on it.
- 28. During the period June to September 2011, Ms. Pakrouh continued to receive her sales commissions at the flat monthly rate of \$10,355.
- 29. Without any communication or explanation, Defendants stopped paying sales commissions to Ms. Pakrouh and Ms. Fuller as of October 2011.
- 30. In an effort to seek payment of her sales commissions, Ms. Pakrouh continued to communicate regularly with both Mr. Jones and Mr. Price. In November 2011, Mr. Price abruptly announced his resignation without communicating any new sales commission plan and/or reinstating the flat fee monthly commission payments.
- 31. After Mr. Price's resignation, Ms. Pakrouh again contacted Mr. Jones directly, and reminded him that Mr. Price had promised to create an alternate commissions program for her since the program adopted for the other sales professionals did not work for the home care market. Ms. Pakrouh also continued to communicate with Mr. Blackwell in an effort to ensure that her sales commissions would be paid. These RecoverCare representatives continued to assure Ms. Pakrouh that they were "working on it."
- 32. In reasonable reliance on RecoverCare's assurances, Ms. Pakrouh continued to perform her duties, including making significant sales for RecoverCare.

- 33. Periodically, Ms. Pakrouh received Sales Commissions Statements that were inaccurate, which she continued to point out to Mr. Blackwell and others.
- 34. In March 2012, Mr. Blackwell advised Ms. Pakrouh that he expected to complete the work on her sales commission plan by May 2012, and would make payment of any unpaid commissions through a "true-up," which would be included in that month's commission payment. Ms. Pakrouh reasonably relied on Mr. Blackwell's representations, and continued to use her best sales efforts to generate revenue for RecoverCare.
- 35. In March or April 2012, Mr. Jones told Ms. Pakrouh that he had recently spoken to his supervisor, Kathy Sawyers, about the unpaid status of commission payments to Ms. Pakrouh and Ms. Fuller. According to Mr. Jones, Ms. Sawyers wondered aloud: "What are they still doing here?" Ms. Sawyers then asked Mr. Jones whether he thought Ms. Pakrouh would be interested in working in the long term care market. Again, according to Mr. Jones, he told Ms. Sawyers that he did not believe Ms. Pakrouh would be interested in the long term care market. In fact, at that time, Ms. Pakrouh already had numerous clients in the long term care market.
- 36. In the same discussion, Ms. Pakrouh asked Mr. Jones whether RecoverCare was planning to exit the home care market. Mr. Jones said he did not know, adding: "They do not know what they are doing."
- 37. In late April, Ms. Pakrouh and Mr. Jones made a lengthy presentation to a client in the home care market.
- 38. After the client presentation, Ms. Pakrouh asked Mr. Jones whether he had any response from Ms. Sawyers about her unpaid commissions. Mr. Jones again said: "They are working on it."

- 39. At no point did Defendants, or any other representative from RecoverCare, communicate to Ms. Pakrouh that she would no longer receive sales commissions as part of her compensation.
- 40. On April 26, 2012, Ms. Sawyers advised Ms. Pakrouh via telephone that her employment was being terminated, effective June 29, 2012, because RecoverCare was exiting the home care market.
- 41. Although her primary responsibility for sales was to the home care market at the time of her termination, Ms. Pakrouh also supported other markets, and had significant experience in all sales areas. During her 18 years with RecoverCare, Ms. Pakrouh had demonstrated her ability to effectively sell in all areas of the Company's business.
- 42. At the time it announced its exit from the home care market, RecoverCare employed three (3) other sales employees who supported the home care market: Brad Wicklas (male, late 30s), Vice President, Government Sales; Stacy Fuller (female, mid-20s), Account Manager; and Vernon Johnson (male, 30s), Account Manager.
- 43. At the time of the announcement, Ms. Pakrouh had responsibility for sales to the home care market in Pennsylvania, Delaware, and New Jersey. Ms. Fuller covered home care clients in Maryland. Mr. Wicklas had national oversight for the home care and Veterans Administration facilities, and was based in California. Mr. Johnson was an Account Manager in Texas, who had been a technician, and was moved into a sales role approximately six months before the Company's exit from the home care market. Mr. Johnson was not a nurse, and had no sales experience at the time of his transfer.
- 44. In addition to Ms. Pakrouh, RecoverCare terminated Mr. Johnson's employment following its exit from the home care market, but retained Mr. Wicklas and Ms. Fuller.

- 45. During the call when she was notified of her job termination, Ms. Pakrouh told Ms. Sawyers that she had significant responsibilities outside of the home care market, including accounts in the acute care, long term care, hospice, and Veterans' markets. Ms. Sawyers seemed confused, and asked if "they" knew about this. Although she did not identify the decision makers by name, Ms. Sawyers said she would be speaking with "them" later that day, and would notify Ms. Pakrouh if anything changed.
- 46. Upon information and belief, Ms. Sawyers was not aware that Ms. Fuller also supported the home care market at the time she communicated the termination decision to Ms. Pakrouh.
- 47. Following RecoverCare's termination of Ms. Pakrouh's employment, the Company assigned her sales accounts to Steve Rakus (male, mid-50s). Mr. Rakus had been with the Company less than eight (8) years.
- 48. In June 2012, at the same time it terminated Ms. Pakrouh's employment, RecoverCare hired Tom Walters (male, mid-50s), a former contractor, as a new Account Manager, who was assigned to service the Maryland territory.
- 49. Upon information and belief, RecoverCare directed Ms. Fuller, the Account Manager already in Maryland, to reassign a significant portion of her active accounts to Mr. Walters.
- 50. Upon information and belief, RecoverCare also hired another male Account Manager (name unknown) after notifying Ms. Pakrouh of her termination from employment.
- 51. For these reasons, Ms. Sawyers' claim that RecoverCare terminated Ms. Pakrouh's employment because of its exit from the home care market are not true, and are just a pretext for gender and/or age discrimination.

- 52. During the telephone call with Ms. Sawyers in which she learned of her termination from employment, Ms. Pakrouh asked for confirmation that she would receive payment for her past due sales commissions.
- 53. The same day, Ms. Sawyers sent Ms. Pakrouh a Separation Agreement and Release "Release," in which Ms. Pakrouh was asked to release any claims against Defendants, including her claims for over \$93,000 in unpaid commissions (the monthly commission of \$10,355 for the period October 2011 through June 2012), in exchange for a severance payment of less than \$35,000.
- 54. In late May 2012, Ms. Sawyers advised Ms. Pakrouh, along with all other sales employees, that the "true-up" payment for 2011 sales would be made by the end of the month, and payment for commissions earned during the first quarter of 2012 would be made by the end of June. No such payments were made to either Ms. Pakrouh or Ms. Fuller.
- 55. Ms. Pakrouh continued to communicate with Mr. Vinegar, Mr. Jones, and Mr. Blackwell about the status of her unpaid commissions in the period leading up to her termination.
- 56. Mr. Vinegar assured Ms. Pakrouh that she would be paid her unpaid commissions. However, Defendants did not make any payments to Ms. Pakrouh to compensate her for her previously unpaid commissions before or at the time her employment terminated on June 29, 2012.
- 57. Following her termination from employment, Ms. Pakrouh continued to communicate with Mr. Vinegar about the terms of the Company's proposed Release, and her desire to resolve the issue of unpaid commissions before considering the Company's severance pay offer.

- 58. In early July 2012, Mr. Vinegar advised Ms. Pakrouh that she would be eligible for commissions for a "q1 and a prorated q2 commissions payout." However, Defendants never made any payments for the commissions that were not paid during 2011 or 2012.
- 59. Upon information and belief, under threat of termination from employment from both Mary Zappone, RecoverCare's President and CEO, and Mr. Vinegar, Ms. Fuller waived her right to the payment of her sales commissions in exchange for a fraction of the unpaid amount in order to keep her job.

COUNT I

Gender Discrimination in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, against RecoverCare

- 60. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 59 as though fully set forth herein.
- 61. RecoverCare violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a, because it terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her gender (female).

COUNT II

Age Discrimination in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act against RecoverCare

- 62. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 61 as though fully set forth herein.
- 63. RecoverCare violated the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. § 621 et seq., because it terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her age (55).

COUNT III

Gender and Age Discrimination in violation of the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act against RecoverCare

- 64. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 63 as though fully set forth herein.
- 65. RecoverCare violated the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 P.S. § 951 et seq., because it terminated Plaintiff's employment because of her gender and/or age (55).

COUNT IV

Violations of the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law Against All Defendants

- 66. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 65 as though fully set forth herein.
- 67. The parties had an agreement that Plaintiff's compensation included a flat monthly sales commission of \$10,355 per month for her work as an Account Manager.

 Plaintiff's sales commissions are "wages" as defined by the Pennsylvania Wage Payment and Collection Law ("WPCL"), 43 P.S. 260 et seq.
- 68. Defendants were legally obligated to continue paying Plaintiff sales commissions at the rate of \$10,355 per month unless and until they communicated a proposed replacement compensation arrangement for sales commissions to be implemented on a prospective basis.
- 69. Defendants never communicated terms of any new compensation arrangement for Plaintiff's sales commissions. In fact, Defendants repeatedly told Plaintiff that they were still working on a new commission plan for her.

- 70. Defendants breached the parties' agreement with respect to the payment of sales commissions when they stopped paying Plaintiff's commissions for the period October 2011 through June 2012.
- 71. Defendants have no good faith basis to contest or dispute Plaintiff's entitlement to her earned sales commissions for the nine month period prior to her termination from employment.
- 72. Defendants' failure to pay Plaintiff's monthly commission from October 2011 through her termination of employment in June 2012 violated the WPCL.

COUNT V

Promissory Estoppel Against RecoverCare

- 73. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 72 as though fully set forth herein.
- 74. Plaintiff seeks recovery of her unpaid commissions under the theory of promissory estoppel if such commissions are not recovered under Count IV for violation of the WPCL.
- 75. When RecoverCare implemented a new sales commission plan for all sales employees in June 2011 other than Plaintiff and Stacy Fuller, several RecoverCare representatives promised Plaintiff that she would be compensated for her sales commissions under a separate, yet to be established plan.
- 76. Plaintiff continued working, making significant sales, and bringing in revenue for RecoverCare in reliance on the promises that she would be compensated for her commissions on sales.

77. Injustice can only be avoided if the Court orders RecoverCare to pay Plaintiff for her sales commissions for the period October 2011 through June 2012.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Cheron L. Pakrouh, respectfully requests that this Court:

- A. Enter a declaratory judgment that RecoverCare's acts, policies, practices and procedures complained of herein have violated Plaintiff's rights as secured to her by law;
- B. Require RecoverCare to employ Plaintiff in a position equivalent to the one she held, and to award her full wages and benefits commensurate to that position;
- C. Award Plaintiff compensation for all past and future pecuniary losses resulting from RecoverCare's unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to all compensation and benefits lost due to the actions of RecoverCare, all out-of-pocket losses, as well as an award for front pay, if re-employment is not possible;
- D. Award Plaintiff compensation for past and future non-pecuniary losses resulting from RecoverCare's unlawful employment practices, including but not limited to, pain and suffering, emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and a loss of life's pleasures, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- E. Award to Plaintiff liquidated damages for RecoverCare's willful violation of the ADEA;
- F. Award to Plaintiff punitive damages for RecoverCare's malicious and reckless conduct in violation of Title VII as described herein, in amounts to be determined at trial;
- G. Award to Plaintiff compensation for unpaid wages in the form of earned sales commissions in an amount equal to at least \$93,195.

- H. Award to Plaintiff liquidated damages under the WPCL in an amount equal to 25% of the unpaid wages (at least \$23,298.75).
- I. Grant to Plaintiff all costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys' fees relating to the enforcement of her rights;
 - J. Grant to Plaintiff prejudgment interest; and
- K. Grant to Plaintiff such additional relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff requests a jury trial on all questions of fact raised by her Complaint.

Respectfully submitted,

UEBLER LAW LLC

Julie A. Uebler (I.D. No. 71297)

744 West Lancaster Avenue, Suite 220

Wayne, PA 19087 (610) 688-7900

juebler@ueblerlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiff, Cheron L. Pakrouh

VERIFICATION

I, Cheron L. Pakrouh, verify under penalty of perjury that the factual information set forth in the foregoing Complaint is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. This Verification is made subject to the penalties of 28 U.S.C. § 1746 relating to unsworn declarations.

Cheron L. Pakrouh

Dated: 5/13/14